This application has been examined. 

This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), ___ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. Claims 1-23, 25 are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Claims have been cancelled.

3. Claims 9-23, 25 are allowed.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected.

5. Claims are objected to.

6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; ■ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).

10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner; ■ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. The proposed drawing correction, filed has been approved; ■ disapproved (see explanation).

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received or not been received ■ been filed in parent application, serial no.; filed on

13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other
The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negativied by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-3, 5-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Koshino et al. OP Young et al.

Broadly claimed invention is deemed met, see Koshino et al, the abstract or Young et al., fig. 5A-5B.

The interconversion of optical, electrical and thermal energy well known in the art and thus deemed obvious.
Claim 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The expression "capable of" and "detectable characteristics' deemed because uncertain meanings. Claim 1 would read on alloys having allotropic transitions and not all combinations of Te, Ge and Sb work as amply taught by the applicant (see p.10-11).

Claims 9-23 and 25 are deemed allowable if written in independent form. The expression "first detectable characteristic" in claim 25 should be changed to read: "first detectable physical characteristic or properties index.

Strand, Ikegawa et al., Hennessey Minemura et al. Takagi et al. and Melton et al. cited being simulative of the level of prior art.

Applicant is invited ot submit prior art under 37 CFR 1.56.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. Roy Rosenberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-1104.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

U. Roy:rg
August 06, 1991